The architectural remains from Mathura discussed, are a good indicator of the scale of organisation and popularity of the multiple religious cults that existed in the region, but there were possibly many other local sects and practices that flourished around the region that did not have any monumental architecture associated with them. The cult of the numerous Yakshas and the local village gods and goddesses are some of them, and yet their popularity in the region rivalled the major sects like Buddhism and Jainism at Mathura. This chapter discusses these popular cults of the region and their representation in the sculptural imagery at Mathura. The repertoire of Naga and Yaksha imagery at Mathura is extremely diverse, and they occur both as independent cults in their own right, displaying certain iconographic conventions as is discerned from the sculptural evidence, or as part of the larger Buddhist and Jaina pantheons, in which they are accorded a variety of roles and are depicted variously. An interesting fact to note is that these regional cults are dispersed quite evenly in the region, and run parallel to most of the Buddhist and Jaina sculptures. The beginnings of these cults can be traced back to the 2nd century B.C., as exemplified by the colossal Parkham Yaksha, or perhaps even earlier if one takes into account the various terracotta figurines that occur as early as 400 B.C. They not only coexist and flourish in Mathura, along with the many other religious sects, but also perhaps outlive the latter, continuing to be an inevitable part of the local beliefs and practices of the region in the present times. Religion in itself operates at different levels, ranging from large scale dominant sects like Buddhist, Jaina and Brahmanical ones, to the local and region specific gods and goddesses, Yakshas and Nagas, along with the worship of and offerings made to domestic household deities. All of these aspects are amply reflected in the archaeological and epigraphic evidence from Mathura.

Yakshas, Yakshis and other Demi-Goddesses of Mathura

Commenting upon the research done on the antiquity and development of the cult of the Yaksha in Indian art and mythology, with special reference to the site of Mathura, G. Mitterwallner emphasises that although there has been a lot of investigations done by
known scholars on the Yakshas of ancient India, there has been absolutely no work done
on the Yakshas of specific sites or cities so far, Mathura being one of them.\footnote{219}

The first comprehensive analysis on the Yakshas was done by Ananda Coomaraswamy\footnote{220}, who traced the development of the cult of the Yakshas using a
combination of literary and sculptural data. He discusses the 'Aryan as well as the non-
Aryan elements in the evolution of the iconography and religious history of the cult'.\footnote{221}
His sources range from the Vedic texts, to the Pali Buddhist and Jaina literature, along
with the archaeological evidence suggestive of the development of the cult. Discussing
the multivalent attitude towards the Yakshas, he debates both the benevolent and the
malevolent aspects of these deities as reflected in the literary texts, invoking fear at some
times and respect at others. Coomaraswamy discusses the antiquity of the cult, stressing
the various ways in which these gods were worshipped and enshrined, at the same time
undertaking a discussion on the cult of popular Yakshas, like Naigamesha and Kubera
that are represented independently, as well as occur as a part of other religious pantheons
like in Buddhist and Jaina ones. Interpreting the use of the Yakshis as an artistic motif in
Indian art, Coomaraswamy underlines the deeper association of the Yakshas with the
water cosmology derived from the philosophic discussions of the Upanishads. Therefore,
what may appear to be representing a mere tutelary deity or an ornamental motif has in
essence, a deeper philosophical importance that is well represented in the ancient Indian
texts.

Tracing the development of the Yaksha cult in the plastic arts of ancient India,
Coomaraswamy points out to the antiquity of the imagery of Yakshas, and sees them as
precursors to the life size Buddha images that were later modelled on the same pattern as
those of the Yaksha images, the artists drawing inspiration from the latter. This
hypothesis has been widely accepted in the scholarly circles, especially in context of
Mathura, where the Greco Roman influence is seen to have played an important role in
the development of the Mathura school of sculpture. Arguing against this contention
Coomaraswamy, along with other scholars like Vincent Smith and Growse, argue for the

\footnote{221} Ibid., foreword p-ix.
indigenous development of the Mathura School, taking the pot bellied Yaksha figures from Mathura as proto types after which the Buddha images in the region were fashioned. Coomaraswamy therefore concludes, that this being the case, it is not unlikely that Mathura would have produced the first Buddha images and not Gandhara.

Reverting to our discussions on the Yakshas from Mathura, it is observed that they can be divided into two broad categories, i.e. either represented as free standing or seated figures carved in round, or relief, that would have served as independent cult images, the second are the Yakshas that occurred either as guardian deities or attended some worshipped personage or cult emblems. The latter are especially seen in the case of Buddhist and Jaina iconography, where they occur as attendants to the images of the Buddha, Bodhisattvas or the Jaina tirthankaras, or are at times depicted as worshipping cult symbols like the dharma chakra, stupas, etc. A third category can also be identified, which comprises of the use of the imagery of the beautiful voluptuous Yakshis as ornamental motifs depicted primarily on the railing pillars from Mathura. I would like to enumerate a few examples of each category from the various sites of Mathura.

The potbellied crouching dwarfs are the most common representations of the seated Yaksha figures. In most cases the knee is fastened to the belly with the help of a scarf, the facial features are demonic, with earrings and necklaces forming a part of the ornamentation. In some cases the arms of such Yakshas are seen as supporting a huge bowl, resembling the Atlantes figures. In other instances these figures are depicted with grotesque facial features and conspicuous genital.

The second most common representation of a seated male Yaksha is one of a pot bellied male figure, squat-legged with a cup in one hand and a money purse in the other. These figures are often identified as representing the god Kubera, and sometimes are depicted with a seated female figure alongside, which may be taken to represent the goddess Hariti. Both are assumed to fulfil fertility functions and worshipped independently in their own right as well, apart from forming a part of Buddhist and Jaina iconography. However, scholars have not been consistence with the identification of Kubera images. The catalogues of the Mathura Museum by Vogel and Agrawala, exhibit these inconsistencies, where in some cases such potbellied figures are identified as Kubera, while in the others they are just termed as Yaksha figures. It may be noted that in
most of the Yaksha and Yakshi figures there are no inscriptions that may facilitate their identification, or provide names of these gods and goddesses. In some cases though, the identification of the Kubera and Hariti images are possible with a fair amount of certainty, especially where the former is depicted with a mongoose and a goblet, two icons unmistakably associated with Kubera, and the latter is depicted holding a child in her lap. The hands in most of these sculptures are raised in Abhayamudra, and at times Kubera is depicted with other religious icons as well like the dharma chakra. In an interesting example of artistic improvisation from Mathura, Kubera is depicted as being seated in Bhadrasana playing the harp, while Hariti is seated, holding out her hand in Abhayamudra. Sometimes both the divinities are surrounded by figures of devotees with folded hands paying their respects to the gods.

Yet another category of male Yakshas can be identified from Mathura, in most cases these Yakshas hold indistinct objects in their hands, in one specimen a Varah faced Yaksha is seen as holding a long necked bottle and a basket containing a garland, in another image the Yaksha is seen holding a club and a vase. Other miscellaneous figures are depicted with carrying various objects, like a trident or even a ram’s head as depicted in one particular specimen.

Aside from these individual specimens carved in the round, there are many Yakshas that are depicted on bas-reliefs and that form a part of the monumental architecture at Mathura. The most common ones are depiction of the dwarf figures from the railing pillars and the bas-reliefs, that show them crouched prostrate, with a standing female figure on their back. Other dwarf figures occur on miscellaneous architectural pieces like doorjambs and architraves, depicted as being pot bellied and with a grotesque facial expression, and these fulfil the role of being attendant figures carrying baskets with flowers and other offerings or at times with flywhisks in their hands. There is only one representation of a Yaksha occurring independently on a bas-relief, belonging to the Kushana period, which shows the figure wielding a musala in his left hand, identified as Moggarpani Yaksha by Agrawala.222

222 The Yaksha Moggarapani is mentioned in the Antagadadasāṇo as the ancestral deity of the gardener Ajjunaka of Rajagriha. The legend narrates that once Anjunaka, while worshipping the Yaksha, was challenged by a group of bandits, who tied him and ravaged his wife. The gardener then remembered his
A distinct category of Yakshas from Mathura depicts them as supporters of architectural parts or of bowls. The Yaksha atlantes and some representations of them supporting the dharma chakra have been mentioned. A large number of bowl supporting Yakshas have also come to light from different sites in Mathura. The figures from Palikhera and Govindnagar, being perfect examples of these. The stone bowl from Palikhera is inscribed and is dedicated to the Buddhist sub-sect of the Mahasangikas. Similar specimens have been further discovered from Govindnagar, the best preserved specimen depicts a Yaksha carved in the round, supporting a broken bowl on its head. The ornamentation on the figure consists of a torque and earrings, and the facial expressions and the general countenance deceives the figure for a female. There have been speculations about the exact role that these bowl supporting Yakshas played. Comparing the Mathura specimens with similar examples from Amravati, Vogel mentions that many reliefs from the latter site, feature a pair of bowl supporting dwarf Yakshas, which are placed at either side of the entrance to the ambulatory path around the stupa. In many of the sculptures male and female devotees are depicted as making offerings in these bowl or else taking something out of them. On the basis of this it has been concluded that similar Yakshas from Mathura too fulfilled the same function—that they were placed at the entrance to a sacred stupa spot and served as a bowl stand for offerings. This further conformed with their role of a gatekeeper, a role that is normally assigned to a lot of Yakshas when associated with Buddhist and Jaina iconography.

Moving to the female counterparts, sculptural representation of Yakshis from Mathura is overwhelming. The freestanding specimens could have represented some local goddesses worshipped by the people. The other female figures form a part of the architectural sculpture and are artistic masterpieces. These figures are beautifully carved, depicted in various graceful poses, and are mostly used as decorative motifs for the ancient buildings. The standing or seated squatting female figures, mostly depicted with a child on the lap, hands raised in Abhayamudra are identified as goddess Hariti. They occur independently or with a similar seated male Yaksha figure, usually identified with ancestral deity, who possessed him, after which Ajjunaka wielding a mace killed the bandits. This maybe the theme depicted on the relief from Mathura.

**Plate XVII:** Shalabhanjika figure—Sonkh.
Kubera. The Kubera and Hariti figures depicted together in relief are found in large numbers from Mathura.

The other categories of female Yakshis, depicted on the architectural sculpture, occur in various poses. The Mathura artists seemed particularly fond of depicting the shalabhanjika figures on the railing pillars and reliefs. In most of these specimens, the female figure is depicted in a graceful attitude, standing on a prostrate dwarf under a tree, with one hand clasping a branch, while the other resting on the hip. Most of these figures are depicted nude, but for the heavy girdle and the usual ornaments like an elaborate headdress and necklaces and anklets. Other than the shalabhanjika, the figures are sculpted in various other attitudes, like that of loosening their girdles, or feeding a parrot, holding a stalk of flower, holding a dagger etc.

An important category of female figures from Mathura is the various matrika plaques that are found from the various sites in the region. A lot of these plaques are from the site of Plaikhera that depict these goddesses seated or standing in various poses. The number of female figures depicted is not standardised and the number varies from two to seven. Agrawala identifies these as depicting the cult of the saptamatrika or seven mother goddesses, each of them identified with their characteristic emblems. Most of these plaques belong to the Kushana period where the number of goddesses depicted on a plaque does not seem to be standardised, but was elastic and depended on the discretion of the artist or the patron commissioning the sculpture. There are also a number of images of the goddess Vasundhara, identified with a jar and the fish symbol, that are found from sites like Palikhera and Bajna from Mathura. N.P. Joshi cataloguing these matrika plaques from Mathura has classified them into various categories. Defining what would really qualify as depicting a matrika in a plaque, he clarifies that female figures with one child or two or more children are generally known as Mothers or Matrikas and

---

224 Agrawala describes the relief as depicting the saptamatrikas with haloed heads holding a child in their left arm. The first is Brahmani with three haloed heads and a ladle in her right hand. Her vehicle is a swan. Next is Maheshvari with her Trishul and her vehicle is a bull. The third is Kaumari, holding her sakti and her vehicle the peacock. The fourth is Vaishnavi with a mace and her vehicle is a Garuda. The fifth is Varahi holding a broken staff, with her vehicle Mahisa. The sixth is Indrani with her Vajra and her vehicle an elephant. The last of the group is Camunda recognized by her Preta-vahana, garland of skulls, emaciated body and a sunken belly. On the proper right side is a figure of Siva in the vidarbha form playing the vina. At the end of the series stands the pot-bellied god Ganesha, who holds a dagger and a bowl of sweets and mouse as vehicle.

PLATE XVIII: Three Matrikas on a panel—Peepal Wala Well, Mathura City
these are found in large number in Mathura, fashioned both in stone as well as terracotta. Further he identifies 13 broad categories in them that roughly include women with human figures, standing or seated, depicted with a child, matrikas with animal or bird faces with children, some of the female figure holding a child are depicted together with Kubera, while others are standing in a line without any children depicted. Joshi also points out that none of the female figures are depicted with any weapons or with any mount, an opinion that can be contested, when one considers the saptamatrika plaque that is catalogued by Agrawala, which clearly depict each goddess with her respective weapon and mount.

Apart from these matrika figures are also the depiction of Brahmanical goddesses like Lakshmi, Vasundhara and the goddess Durga in her Mahisasuramardini form. The Mahisasuramardini images are also fashioned in Mathura in large numbers and it seems that the goddess was worshipped popularly in the region. The earliest specimens of the Mahisasuramardini plaques occur from the Kushana period and are fashioned in terracotta (Sonkh).

As for the geographical distribution of the Yaksha and the other goddess images, they are to be found all over the region of Mathura. The widespread distribution of these matrika sculptures is acknowledged by Joshi, and the sites he mentions that have yielded these sculptures are:

Manoharpura-Mathura City

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Girdharpur</td>
<td>Brindaban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nagla Jhinga</td>
<td>Tayyapur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potra Kund, Katra</td>
<td>Arjunpura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kankali Tila</td>
<td>Bhuteshvar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ral Bhadar</td>
<td>Kervi Village</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palikhera</td>
<td>Naya Village</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitala Paisa</td>
<td>Usphar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bajna</td>
<td>Mahaban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhangaon</td>
<td>Kevala Village</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rani ki Mandi</td>
<td>Akrur Village</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Considering the fact that some of the sites like Jamalpur and Katra were considered as primary Buddhist strongholds in the Kushana period, and similarly the site of Kankali was a Jaina site, Joshi concludes that the find spots of various matrika figures indicate that the matrika cult was basically an important popular cult accepted by the Buddhist, Jainas and the followers of the Brahmanical faith, and that the entire region was under its influence.\textsuperscript{226}

Collating the epigraphic evidence from Mathura to these images, it may be observed that unlike the Buddhist and Jaina statues, hardly any of the Yaksha, Yakshi or goddess sculptures were inscribed. There are only a handful of examples where these statues are inscribed with specific names of the deities mentioned, or that the donors have engraved their names and the nature of their donation, a practice that is otherwise common to the Buddhist and Jaina imagery from Mathura. The inscription from the site of Nagala Jhinga, only the reading of which is available, dated to the 2\textsuperscript{nd} century B.C., records the setting up of a statue by a person by the name of Naka, who identifies himself as being the pupil of a certain Kunika. The Yaksha image from the site of Parkham is also inscribed, dating to 2\textsuperscript{nd} century B.C., here the sculptor again identifies himself to be the pupil of Kunika, probably the same person as mentioned in the inscription from Nagala Jhinga, as both the inscription are contemporaneous in date. The Yaksha itself is referred to as the ‘Holy One’ and no name is offered in the inscription of the deity. There are also the finds of a Kubera sculpture and a female statue from the site of Parkham, but the inscriptions on the pedestal of both these images are damaged and no sense can be discerned from them. The site of Gayatri Tila has yielded a statue of a pot bellied male figure attended by two standing females, the sculpture being inscribed with a donative inscription, but due to the damaged condition of the sculpture, only the names of the donors are preserved, and the Yaksha represented by the sculpture cannot be identified. Another similar example is from the site of Ral Bhadar, where an inscribed sculptured plaque depict a seated male and female figure, but only the concluding part of the donative inscription is preserved. Similarly the site of Mora, apart from yielding remains of a Bhagvata temple, has also yielded two inscribed statues of female figures, probably

\textsuperscript{226} Ibid., pp. 14–15.
some Yakshis, the statues of whom are commissioned to be made at the site by the devotees.

The Naga Divinities at Mathura

As early as the 1880’s, Growse published a hand copy of an inscription he found from the site of Jamalpur that provided tangible proof to the existence of a Naga sanctuary at the site. The inscription incised on a stone slab read ‘the place sacred to the divine lord of Nagas Dadhikarna’. The inscription proved that the site of Jamalpur was probably associated with the worship of the Naga divinity by the name of Dhadhikarna, and that the latter had a temple structure of its own there, perhaps before the establishment of the Huvishka vihara at the site. This was further confirmed by another inscription that came to light from the site, incised on a pillar base donated to the Huvishka vihara, it was a gift from Devila, ‘a servant of the shrine of Dhadhikarna’. This apart from proving the historicity of the Naga cult at the site also confirmed that the shrine of the Naga lord Dhadhikarna and the Buddhist viharas coexisted and were contemporaneous to each other.

This was only the beginning to the finds of Naga sculptures from Mathura. In 1908 Pt. Radha Krishna acquired a life size image of a Naga, from the village of Chhargaon. The hooded Naga statue depicts the deity with its right arm raised over the head ready to strike. The figure wears a dhoti and an upper garment, and a necklace for ornamentation. The head is surmounted by a seven-headed snake hood. The inscription on the back of the sculpture, records the setting up of this image by two individuals during the reign of the Kushana king Huvisha. The Chhargaon image seemed to have to some extent provided a fixed iconographic convention for the freestanding Naga images from Mathura, as several similar specimens were discovered from around the region. Growse discovered a Naga statue from the Tehsil of Sadabad, which was in a better state of preservation than the Chhargaon image, with the cup held in the left hand very distinctly, and the head as usual surmounted by the seven-hooded canopy.

PLATE XIX: Chhargaon Naga Image.
Another Naga statue came from the village of Khamini, which can be stylistically ascribed to the Kushana period, and yet another that was purchased from the shrine of Dauji in the present day Mathura City, which was salvaged for worship by the local priest, and was enshrined as Balrama in the temple at the site. From the inscription on the image it was determined that it belonged to the Kushana period, and was only twelve years later in date than the Chhargaon Naga. The continuity of Naga worship in the succeeding Gupta period is ascertained by a Naga sculpture that was obtained from somewhere between the villages of Maholi and Usphar, and the fragment consisted of the hind portion of a coiled up snake carved in the round. The roughly dressed base contained a Sanskrit inscription in two lines, ascribable to around the 3rd century A.D. recording the donation of the image. The owner of the image had made a mud effigy on top of the image, which was explained, to the visiting pilgrims as the popular legend of Lord Krishna subduing the Kaliya Naga.

Scholars have emphasized a close association of the Balrama cult of present day Mathura, with the Naga worship in the ancient past. In fact it is no coincidence that the present day Balrama images are largely fashioned after the Chhargaon Naga image. Vogel has highlighted that the modern day white marble images of Baladev, fashioned in Mathura, are stylistically, descendants of the Chhargaon images with a few iconographic changes. The snake hood, in accordance to the mythical legend of Balrama, is seen to represent the fact that Baladeva was the incarnation of Naga Sesha, and the cup in the left hand is indicative of the drinking propensities of the deity. Vogel goes on to conjecture that perhaps the mythical personage of Balrama was developed from the Naga lord, and if this being the case, one can trace the historical development of the Naga Balrama in the region, which later became absorbed into the Krishnaite pantheon. The Buddhist and the Jainas also to some extent sought to adapt the popular worship of the Nagas into their religion. The Nagas in Buddhist and Jaina iconography are depicted as devotees of Lord Buddha and the Naga hood forms the headgear of the Jaina tirthankara Parshavanath.

The Nagas in the Krishna legend are depicted in two ways—one is the Naga association with Balrama, who in Vaishnava mythology is the older brother of Krishna, and second is the ultimate triumph of the Vaishnava cult over the local Naga deities and their absorption into the larger Vaishnava religion. The most popular perception, and the
PLATE XX: Modern day Balrama image.
one that is supported by the Epic and Puranic literature, is the association of the Naga with Balrama, who in the Epics is depicted as the older brother of Krishna. The \textit{Mahâbhârata} and the \textit{Harivamsha Purâna}, both have preserved elaborately the legends of Krishna and Balrama, being born in the environs of Mathura, and therefore are inseparably linked to the region. Along with Krishna, Balrama is also seen as a form of Vishnu, and an incarnation of the serpent lord Sesha. Balrama is also closely associated with drinking and intoxicants\textsuperscript{228}, and in some legends is also seen as an agricultural deity. The parallels of this latter aspect, of Blarama being an agricultural deity, are also traced to the ancient Nagas, who were closely connected with water—the element all-important for agriculture. These two attributes—use of intoxicants and the association with agriculture—have shaped the iconography of the Balrama images in which he is depicted as a Naga deity holding a cup in this left hand and a plough over his shoulder.

N.P. Joshi\textsuperscript{229}, concentrating his study on the iconography of Balrama images, using a combination of literary and sculptural evidence, perceives the Naga worship in India as primarily a folk cult and opines that the theory that Balrama as an incarnation of Vishnu might have got further impetus from the followers of the Naga cult because it conferred a superior status on their deity. He stresses that from Kautilya’s \textit{Arthashastra}, it is evident that Naga worship was extremely popular in Mauryan times and that is amply depicted from the sculpted panels of Bharhut, Sanci and Amravati. The anthropomorphic representations of Naga at Mathura start as early as the 3\textsuperscript{rd} century B.C., culminating into the establishment of the two Apsidal Temples dedicated to the cult at Sonkh. The lack of iconographic evidence in the Gupta, and later in the medieval period, is interpreted as the result of the absorption of the cult into the ever-growing Brahmanical pantheon. At the same time, the evidence of the worship of Balrama, running parallel to the other Naga deities at Mathura, is seen in the many kinship triads depicting the Vrishni heroes, Balrama being one of them along with Vasudeva. Since in the literary texts, Balarama is associated with Krishna, who is also identified as Bhagavata Vasudeva, the epigraphic evidence from the doorjamb inscription from Mora, dated to the 1\textsuperscript{st} century B.C., a

\textsuperscript{228} The \textit{Mahâbhârata} narrates the legend of how after defeating Jarasandha, Balrama paid a visit to Vraj and drank to his satisfaction. There are other references in texts that mention the drinking propensities of Balrama, and hence the association with the drinking cup in iconography.
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mention has been made of a shrine that was dedicated to Bhagavata Vasudeva, but Joshi feels that the inscription could be well read Bhagavta Baladeva as well. Therefore the crux of his theory is that while the worship of local, or folk as he terms them, Naga divinities was popular in Mathura from the 3rd century B.C. continuing to the early Gupta period, there is equal evidence for the worship of Balrama during this period as well. The early Naga imagery from Mathura went a long way in defining and refining the iconography of the Balrama images of the region, but a well-developed independent formula for Balrama was yet to come into existence.

The followers of Krishna therefore, according to common scholarly perception, declared the Naga images to represent the older brother of their divine hero. The conversion was made in such a manner that the rural population could still continue to worship their familiar snake hooded idols under a different name. The imagery of Krishna subduing the Kaliya Naga in some ways is used as a metaphor to represent the undermining of the popular cult of the Nagas, and the superimposition of the greater Vaishnava worship over them. The Buddhist sangha, it is assumed, absorbed the Nagas into their religious imagery due the immense popularity of the latter cult with the common population.

In a recent study, Julia Shaw and John Sutcliffe have added the dimensions of economic determinism to this assimilative practice. They feel that the traditional models on Buddhist propagation in ancient India, address the issue of the Sangha’s appropriation of the local nature spirits, drawing from textual accounts the Buddha’s subordination, and the ultimate conversion of the powerful Nagas and Yakshas. From the observations and chronicles of Faxian, the Chinese pilgrim, who visited India in the 5th century A.D., the incorporation of the Naga shrines into the monastic compounds was largely connected to the popular perception of the Nagas as fertility and protective deities, and that such a practice would therefore ensure adequate rainfall and protection against natural calamities. Shaw and Sutcliffe have taken up the case study of the Sanci landscape, and argued for a model of monastic landlordism according to which they claim that the ‘spatial dynamics of the “early historic complex” are repeated throughout the Sanchi area.

and it provides an empirical basis for suggesting some form of interdependent exchange network between local oligarchs, landowners, labourers and monks. How do the Naga images figure in here? First, the presence of the Naga images situated within the boundaries of the hilltop monastic complexes are seen to form a part of the wider ‘Buddhist landscape’, and secondly, these images are seen as manifestations of the local Naga dynasty, who appear to have been closely connected with the patronage of dams and Buddhist sites in the area.

Further the association of the Nagas with water and fertility in the common belief systems of the people also encouraged the monks to have their images installed within the monastic complexes, or near the water bodies like the dams in the area. Therefore Naga worship as part of Buddhist practice was not because the Sangha sought to convert the local population, but rather because its effects were in harmony with the Sangha’s wider economic concerns with agrarian production as an instrument of lay patronage.

There are two aspects to the argument made by Shaw and Sutcliffe, one concerning the role of the monastic establishments in agricultural expansion, and the second, the role the Naga deities played in this practice of rural expansion/monastic landlordism that was undertaken by the Sangha. While the first part of the argument is worked out well, using archaeological data, with regard to the spatial distribution of monasteries in the countryside of the Sanci landscape, the connection made with the Nagas is not very clear especially, with respect to their role in this economic process and their concomitant assimilation within the Buddhist sect. There have been many arbitrary presumptions that are made in drawing out this working hypothesis of the growth and development of the Naga cult in general, and its assimilation into the larger religious systems of the ancient period. First is the assumption that the Naga cult was a folk or a rural form of worship, primarily associated with agriculture. This has prevented any further studies on the specificities and the finer aspects of the growth and development of this cult in specific regions, in my study this is applicable to Mathura. Secondly is the claim, that these cults and their modes of worship are absorbed into, and modified by the larger pan Indian religious systems like Buddhism, Jainism and later the all-

\[231\] Ibid., p–84.
\[232\] Ibid., p–84.
encompassing Brahmanical pantheon. The example of Mathura is quoted by Shaw, while discussing issues of assimilation and integration, stressing the fact that the Nagas were gradually assimilated into the Bhagvata tradition, and thus the iconographic resemblance of the ancient Naga and later Balrama images is no coincidence. The fact that Balrama in the Bhagvata tradition is a deity closely associated with agriculture, provided further credence to the theories of fertility and the agrarian aspects of the Naga cult. Issues of royal patronage are thrown into the argument by using the evidence of the political dynasty of the Naga rulers in the region, who were believed to have greatly supported and patronized the cult. Therefore, though issues of economic determinism and the theories of monastic landlordism may seem plausible to an extent, the association with Nagas and the functional aspect of the cult in this process remains precarious.

Confining my study to the region of Mathura I argue that the Naga cult in the region, during the period under study, enjoyed unparalleled popularity and was equally complex and diversified as any other major religious cult of the time—be it Buddhism, Jainism or the Vasudeva cult at Mathura. Naga icons at Mathura do form a part of the Buddhist and Jaina pantheons, but there is an overwhelming amount of sculptural representations of Naga divinities that are worshipped in their own right. It can be agreed upon that perhaps the popularity of the Nagas at Mathura encouraged the Buddhists to assimilate them into their religious iconography and represent them in the Buddhist architecture at Mathura, but at no point of time in the region does the Buddhist faith supersede the popularity, or is able to fully convert the Naga deities into being a subsidiary part of their religion. On the contrary the Naga cult at Mathura continues to run parallel to the Buddhist one, and both coexisting in the same landscape enjoyed generous patronage from the local population. There is no evidence of contestation or confrontation between the two cults, but an amicable sharing of the same space, as well as a healthy interaction between the two religions.233

The assumption that the Naga cult received any copious patronage from the political dynasty of the Naga rulers at Mathura, and that the Naga religion played any role of legitimation, which may be evident from the Naga kings being portrayed as

233 As is known from the epigraphic evidence from Jamalpur, which records the donation made by the priest of the Naga shrine to the Buddhist monastery at the site.
manifestations of the Naga divinities, is not borne out by archaeological evidence. Going by the sculptural data available, the Naga imagery at Mathura begins as early as the 1st century B.C., way before the Naga dynasty is established at Mathura, even the Apsidal Temples at Sonkh dedicated to the cult predate the Naga dynasty at Mathura. The epigraphic evidence also does not provide any indication to extensive patronage by the Naga rulers. In fact almost all of the inscriptions on the Naga images are dated to the Kushana period and mention the names of the Kushana rulers, primarily Kanishka and Huvishka.

Theories of economic determinism or monastic landlordism are not really applicable for the region of Mathura, which is predominantly perceived in literary sources as a region closely connected to the trade nexus of North India, and archaeological evidence has not revealed any monumental architecture that may be connected to any extensive agricultural practices in the region, for instances dams or reservoirs as in the case of Sanci. Further throughout my work I have argued, that Mathura as a region was extremely cosmopolitan in nature, and the spatial dynamics here were very complex, thus the region cannot be distinctly divided into urban and rural pockets. The religious cults of Mathura formed a part of this larger cosmopolitan set up and operated at different levels, the Nagas being no exception. The ritual and functional importance of the Naga deities, in the Buddhist pantheons in particular, and in the region of Mathura in general, is therefore, different to what it may be in the case of Sanci or other Buddhist sites.

It also seems evident that the Naga cult in Mathura was extremely local or regional in nature—that is to say that some of the Naga deities are mentioned by name in the inscriptions and these seem to be popular local Naga gods worshipped by the people of Mathura. The sculptural representations of the Naga divinities at Mathura is also extremely diversified and include individual standing Naga figures, as well as Naga and Nagi plaques, sometimes being adored by a group of worshippers. The Naga-Nagi plaque from the site of Dhruv Tila represents the two figures standing side by side holding water vessels in hand. A similar sculpture, dated to the Kushana period is found from the site of Ral Bhadar in Mathura, where a Naga figure is flanked on each side by two female Nagis, on the pedestal is a group of twelve figurines, five males, five females, and two children,
probably representing the donor and his family. The inscription on the pedestal is dated to the reign of Kanishka and records the donation of a tank and a garden to the Naga lord, who is mentioned by his name as ‘Naga Bhumo’. In other two sculptures the female Nagi is depicted alone flanked by two attendants holding spears in their hands, the canopy over the head of the Nagi consists of a row of radiate heads.

From the headless Naga figure obtained from the river Yamuna, the inscription engraved in Kushana Brahmi reads the name ‘Dadhikarna’, which probably was the name of the deity. As it has already been discussed that the temple of this Nagaraja existed at the site of Jamalpur, therefore this image would also have belonged to that site and would have represented the said Naga deity. The Naga Bhumo is mentioned in the Ral Bhadar plaque and in many other cases the Naga deities are simply referred to as the ‘Holy One’. The regional and local popularity and significance of these deities is further emphasised by the find of a Naga-Nagi plaque from an unknown site from Mathura, dated to the reign of the Kushana king Kanishka, donating the a temple to the Naga goddess on behalf of the entire village.

The Popular Regional Cults of Mathura

The history of ancient Indian religion is primarily traced from the Sanskrit and Pali sources, signifying the development Vedic religion in the ancient past, to the growth of sects like Buddhism and Jainism, followed by the complex development of the Brahmanism, that later in the modern period came to be referred as Hinduism. Mythology and legends as part of this religious literature provide insights into the history of religious cults prevalent in a region. These sources provide regional references to the mythical characters and gods and goddesses, and to various events that take place in a region. The stories or the life events of Krishna and his entourage at Mathura are a good example of this. Similarly, the Buddhist sources mention certain places that are associated with important events from the life of Buddha that later are considered sacred to the followers of the religion. Though religious cults like Buddhism, Jainism and branches of the Brahmanical religion like Vaishnavism are considered pan-Indian in nature, the literary texts provide certain regional, spatial and temporal contexts to the development of these
PLATE XXI: Sonkh—A present day Camunda temple stands right beside the Apsidal Temple No. 2. The image depicted as Camunda here is in fact a Kushana period Naga image smeared with vermilion and worshipped as the goddess now.
PLATE XXII: Sonkh—The Naga hoods of the ancient image are clearly visible here.
religious cults. Alternatively, these religions are then perceived to constitute the popular
cults of those regions. These literary references are then corroborated with archaeological
evidence from the specific regional sites to provide incontrovertible proof for their
existence and practice in the region. Archaeologically, the presence and popularity of a
particular religious cult in a region is usually gauged by the extent of monumental
architecture, sculpture and epigraphic evidence dedicated to that particular cult. This is
often taken in association with the textual sources that will in some ways provide a
cohesive framework within which this archaeological data can fit in, and a linear
trajectory to the growth and development of that religious cult in a specific region be
traced. A distinction is further made between the mainstream religious cults and the other
‘folk’, ‘rural’, ‘tribal’ or ‘popular’ cults that may have also coexisted within a shared
geographical space. The latter are always studied as being subordinated or subservient to
the larger religious systems, and are perceived as often being erased out or assimilated
within the mainstream religious cults.

The Nagas, Yakshas and other local gods and goddesses form the category of the
so-called rural or folk cults at Mathura. These is largely due to the fact that these cults do
not have any extensive religious literature attributed to them, nor are there any large-scale
religious buildings dedicated to them. But this may not always be the case, for instance
the scale and organisation of the Naga cult at Mathura need not be re-emphasised. Out of
all the sites that have yielded Naga images at Mathura, Sonkh seems to have been the
stronghold of the cult in the region. Further in almost all the inscriptions, mention is
made of temple structures being dedicated to the Naga deities. The Naga Bhumo from the
site of Ral Bhadar is dedicated a tank and a garden, the Chhargaon Naga image,
according to the inscription on it was set up at a tank dedicated to the Naga, and the
Temple of the Naga Dadhikarna at Jamalpur is well known from the inscription.

Similarly, in the case of the Yaksha cult at Mathura, there are no elaborate
religious texts that trace the origin and mythology of these cults, neither are there, any
huge monumental architectural structures that are dedicated to them. The references to
the Nagas and Yakshas in textual traditions are very ambivalent in nature, this being
particularly true for the Yakshas, who are viewed as both malevolent and benevolent
deities. Coomaraswamy while tracing textual references to Yakshas in the Vedic sources
begins with discussing the etymology of the word ‘Yaksha’, and believes that in the earlier texts the word has been described variously and its meanings have been much disputed amongst scholars. Therefore in strictly Vedic terms it may be translated as ‘sacrificial offerings’ or at times it is used for ‘worshipful deity’ or even a ‘phantom’. The haunt or abode of a Yaksha can be outside a city, in a grove or a park, on a mountain or a ghat, by a tank, or at the gate of a city or even within the palace precincts. The Yakshas in most cases, especially in the Pali Buddhist sources have a very regional context and are seen as being associated with specific cities or inhabiting the forests or outskirts of a urban centre. These Yakshas were at times also seen as malevolent creatures that are to be propitiated with offerings and were finally subdued by Buddha, who then converts them into benevolent deities. The Nagas on the other hand, are mentioned in the Epics to have inhabited an abode of their own, which was the underworld or the *patataloka*. They appear as constituting the group of the demigods in Buddhist literature to which belong the Devas and the Yakshas as well. Both the Nagas and the Yakshas were worshipped under similar conditions in the Early Historical Period, and both were depicted by the main religious movements as adherents to their doctrines, and later getting integrated into their respective pantheons. The Nagas and Yakshas therefore, in literature are always placed in a subservient position and are eventually portrayed to be assimilated into the mainstream religious systems.

However the popularity of the cult of the Yakshas and Nagas in the region of Mathura is unchallenged. Most of these gods and goddesses operate at a very local/regional level, and are worshipped in different ways by the local population in Mathura. The earliest literary acknowledgement of these regional deities is perhaps in the *Arthaśāstra* of Kautilya that takes into account the presence of *desadevatas* or *desadaivatas*, which refer to the tutelary deity of a region or a kingdom. Kautilya also acknowledges the existence of local rituals and means of worship at the local temples and the fact that people stuck to their beliefs and practices that they were accustomed to.

One can also observe the different levels at which these cults are operating. While the Naga cult at Mathura had temple structures and tanks built and dedicated to them, as

---

the Buddhists and Jains had monasteries and temples, a lot of local cults flourishing in the villages and cities in Mathura did not have any monumental architecture associated with them. The cult of the Yakshas and village gods and goddesses were some of them, yet they had a large following amongst the people and were as popular as these other mentioned cults. In most cases the Yaksha images were placed in an open space probably under a tree and worshipped, and hence did not have any architectural monuments dedicated to them. The freestanding image of the ancient Manibhadra Yaksha from Parkham is an example of a cult, which seemed to have been very popular. The Yaksha is worshipped till date in the village and an annual fair is held in its respect in the month of Magh (January). The same can be true of the various local village gods and goddesses, as well as common ancestors that are worshipped throughout the region today. We do not find any big temple structures associated with them, but there are local shrines at sacred spots consecrating a male and female deity respectively. These may have, in the ancient period, taken forms of stone slabs or sacred altars placed under trees and could be worshipped by local people who worshipped them with offerings in their own ways. Coomaraswamy points out to this fact that a lot of tree spirits and local deities were worshipped in this manner, and that the sacred tree and the alter are two elements of local practice that may have been taken over by Buddhism by older cults, and in the case of the Bodhi tree this transference can actually be seen. It is believed by scholars that even the Jaina tradition of the ayagapattas may have emerged from these sacred altars and slabs placed on small platforms for folk divinities. This could be an example of certain popular religious practices being assimilated and modified and then incorporated into the Jaina religious system. But assimilation could work the other way round as well. Vidula Jayaswal in her ethnographic study of the use of terracottas in the Ganga Valley sites highlights the existence of many Devi-thanas and Baba-thanas, which are local shrines consecrating male and female deities respectively. She feels that though the local goddess here is seen in relation to the Sakti cult, yet the custom originated essentially on the local

PLATE XXIII: Devi thanas at a local temple dedicated to Goddess Durga at the site of Mora.
magico-religious practices.\textsuperscript{238} Even in the present times, though these small structures are said to represent Durga or the Sakti cult, the modes of worship and offerings to these are defined by the popular belief systems and local practices. There are no elaborate Brahmanical rituals that are carried out at these shrines.

The diversity of the local cults in Mathura during the ancient period was perhaps also reflected in the sculptural data. The various categories of the Yaksha images would have represented these innumerable divinities that were worshiped throughout the district. Though scholars have identified and discussed some of these deities, like Moggarapani Yaksha, Kubera, Hariti or Naigamesha images, there are many others that do not lend themselves to any identification because they are not mentioned in any of the religious literary texts. Apart from the stone sculptures, Mathura has also yielded a variety of terracotta images of probable female Yakshi. All of these local goddesses could have formed an important part of the local belief system of the region, and could have had popular local legends associated with them, that do not get reflected or recorded in the religious literature of the period. The regional context and the local popularity of these cults are also reflected in the fact that most of these images were consecrated in smaller makeshift shrines, located at a sacred spot in the villages and the deities were too well known to the people to have their names categorically spelt out in the inscriptions. The legends and the stories of these local gods were perhaps circulated amongst the local population in form of popular stories and became part of larger oral traditions that never found mention in any of the literary writings.

The pilgrim circuit of Mathura today is dominated by the Krishna bhakti and most of the sacred spots are associated with the Krishna legends. However within this tradition are vestiges of the ancient cults, like those of the Nagas, Yakshas, local devis and devatas. The fact that a good number of devi shrines remain integrated with the brajparikrama shows the resilience of these cults. The presence of the worship of various sacred trees and groves in the Krishna bhakti can also be seen as the continuation of older practices of the worship of tree spirits that played an important part during the ancient period at Mathura. In the present times at Mathura when even the ancient images are

PLATE XXIV: The Devi/Baba thanas at the site of Kosi-Kalan.
salvaged by people to be worshipped as some Brahmanical deity, as is done with the ancient Naga image at Sonkh, which now enshrined in a temple and plays the part of goddess Camunda, there are a number of local spots with small shrines and icons that form a part of local religious practices connected to ancestor worship of some tutelary deities. The Parkham yaksha is a perfect example of the resilience of these popular cults.